

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

EMECO INDUSTRIES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

RESTORATION HARDWARE, INC., GARY  
FRIEDMAN, and Does 1-10,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:12-cv-5072 MMC

**~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER GRANTING  
DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR  
ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF TO  
FILE SURREPLY**


Defendants have

~~Plaintiff~~ filed a motion for administrative relief seeking leave to file a surreply to respond  
Plaintiff has filed opposition thereto. The Court having read and considered said submissions,  
~~to criticisms of the survey of Mr. Hal Poret that Defendants submitted in opposition to the~~  
~~preliminary injunction motion and purported misstatements of fact in Plaintiff's reply.~~

Defendants' motion is GRANTED. The Court will consider the proposed surreply filed as  
Exhibit 1 and the supplemental declaration filed as Exhibit 2 to Defendants' motion for  
administrative relief, as well as the arguments set forth in plaintiff's response thereto.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: December 12, 2012

  
Hon. Maxine M. Chesney  
United States District Judge